DETECTION OF SARS-COV-2 FROM SURFACE OF PATIENTS’ LEFTOVER FOOD PACKAGES AT A COVID-19 QUARANTINE CENTRE
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ABSTRACT

In healthcare facilities, food waste and its packaging are mostly managed as non-infectious general waste. However, waste from SARS-CoV-2 positive patients, are treated as medical waste as they may be contaminated by the virus. We investigated the possibility of SARS-CoV-2 contamination from positive COVID-19 patients to their leftover food packages at a quarantine centre. Food packages surface was swabbed using prewetted cellular foam, placed into viral transport media and analysed using real time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction. SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected in two samples (4.5%) from asymptomatic patients who were at day-2 positive SARS-CoV-2 with cycle threshold (Ct) value (RdRp/E), 34.96/35.72 and 37.1/36.48 respectively. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 supports that there is contamination to the waste. These poses risk of exposure as SAR-COV-2 survive on the surfaces, thus, safe handling and disposal of food waste should be maintained. However, further study involving viral culture should be explored to determine the viability of SARS-CoV-2 from leftover food packages.
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INTRODUCTION

Current global COVID-19 pandemic has reshaped people’s normal lifestyle worldwide. Restrictions, such as national lockdown, work from home, physical distancing and wearing mask in public places have become the new norms. Another cause of concern is risk of exposure to COVID-19 from environmental sources.

Studies on detection of SARS-CoV-2 from environmental surfaces in area surrounding COVID-19 patients have been conducted. Findings from these studies showed even asymptomatic patient may contaminate their surroundings which can be hazardous to other people1-3. Faecal contamination in the toilet and air droplet by a COVID-19 patient has been proven to be the potential route of transmission from the environment1, 4. Other sources of environmental exposure to COVID-19 are from healthcare and municipal wastes from COVID-19 treatment facilities5. Improper management of their waste may promote spread of the disease. Therefore, infectious waste has to be managed properly. Waste from COVID-19 patients have been treated as medical waste creating significant increase in medical waste generation and has posed a big challenge to many countries5-7. Depending on the countries, these wastes may include increase use of personal protective equipment (PPE) as well as general waste like food from patients or suspected COVID-19 patients5. Some countries even treat waste from households during self-quarantine as medical waste6. Nevertheless, there is still lack of study that investigated presence of SARS-CoV-2 from food waste and their packaging.

In Malaysia, medical waste is classified as scheduled waste under the Environmental Quality (Scheduled Wastes) Regulations, 2005. There are scheduled waste contractors who are licenced to manage and dispose medical waste. Medical wastes generated from healthcare facilities, including COVID-19 waste, are mostly disposed by incineration. Other type of waste management such as pre-treated or recycling are not practised for COVID-19 waste5. Since the pandemic, generation of clinical waste had increased by 20%, with more than 21.46% (over 6,600 tonnes) from total clinical waste was COVID-19 related waste in 2020, thus increasing the burden for their disposal5. Following Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) at the point of study, food waste and its packages from COVID-19 patient’s, together with needle, scalpels, personal protective equipment (PPE), swabs, gauzes, bandages and pathological waste had been treated as clinical waste.
This contributed to overwhelming of licenced hazardous waste incineration plants to function at full capacity\(^6\). The cost for management of clinical waste had become an increased burden to healthcare financing, and there are also concerns on the effects of incineration to the environment.

An investigation was conducted at the end of January 2021 to investigate the presence of SARS-CoV-2 from patient’s food packages. The findings are expected to help stakeholders in exploring alternative ways to manage food waste and its packaging.

**METHOD**

The COVID-19 Quarantine and Treatment Centre in Selangor has been in operation since late 2020, to cater for low risk and mild COVID-19 patients. The centre has an average number of more than 4000 patients on treatment daily. Within two months since the operation begun, the total cumulative patients that were admitted was more than 35,000. Meals were served at regular interval three times a day using sustainable food packages. Food was packed in the disposable plastic food containers with lid, drinking water was served in 250 ml plastic bottle and disposable plastic spoon and fork were also given to patients. Considering this is the first study conducted on food packages and without any reference, a small sample were use for preliminary investigation. The main quarantine and treatment centre in Malaysia was selected for sampling as it generates the heaviest weight of COVID-19 waste.

**Food container sampling**

The sampling activity was conducted on 26\(^{th}\) January 2021 in the quarantine and treatment centre from 10.00 am to 2.00 pm local time. Food containers were taken from 18 patients in two separate halls. They were randomly chosen from newly admitted COVID-19 patients, with less than a week of admission, and with mild symptoms or without symptoms. Since Hall 1 was not provided with utensils, we had taken 10 samples from food containers and 10 samples from drinking bottles. While in Hall 2, samples were taken from eight food containers, eight drinking bottles and additional eight sets of utensils making a total of 44 samples. There was no direct contact between the investigators and patients. Chosen food packages were collected directly after patients had taken their lunch by the centre’s staffs. Samples were collected from surface of food packages using two cellular foam swab prewetted with viral transport media (VTM) and placed into one 1 ml VTM. All samples were collected and immediately transported to the laboratory.

**Laboratory analysis**

Viral inactivation by heat was done on all samples prior to RNA extraction in using QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), according to manufacturer’s instructions\(^{10, 11}\). For detecting the presence of SARS-CoV-2, real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) using Real-Q 2019-nCoV detection kit was used. Virus regions from RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) gene and envelope (E) gene were selected as target areas. 5 µL of extracted RNA was reverse transcribed, and amplified by PCR under the following cycle conditions: 1 cycle at 50°C for 30 minutes, 1 cycle at 95°C for 15 minutes, 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 seconds, and 62°C for 45 seconds using Real-Time System Thermal Cycler (BIO RAD).

**RESULTS**

A total of 44 samples were taken from food containers, utensils and water bottles within two groups; one group with symptoms and another one without any symptoms. Detection rate for evidence of SARS-CoV-2 was 4.5% (2 out of 44 samples were positive for both genes of SARS-CoV-2). One was collected from the surface of utensils and another one from the surface of drinking bottle. Both samples were from asymptomatic COVID-19 patients. The cycle threshold (Ct) value (RdRp/E) for the positive samples were 34.96/35.72 and 37.1/36.48 respectively indicating low viral load. Table 1 showed PCR result from the surface of food packaging.

**DISCUSSION**

In April 2020, the WHO Interim guidance suggested that it is doubtful that people can be infected with COVID-19 from food or food packaging\(^{12}\). However, they also emphasize for food industry to take preventive measure to eliminate risk of surface and food package from becoming contaminated with the virus as there is risk of becoming infected from touching them\(^{12}\). To our knowledge, there is no study to date that examined presence of the virus on surface of leftover food packaging from COVID-19 patients. However, a study from Qingdao Port reported that cold-chain transportation in food industry had cause transmission of the virus\(^{13}\). Two workers found positive SARS-CoV-2 with no close contact or foreign personal contact history, yet, they had history of handling frozen cod which was found to be positive for SARS-CoV-2 (11.9%)\(^{13}\). Findings from this investigation showed that 4.5% of leftover food packages were found positive for SARS-CoV-2.
This finding suggests that asymptomatic patients could cause dissemination of the disease. A study in England discovered that there was no difference in the presence of virus in patient with symptoms and without symptoms. This finding was also in agreement with an environment sampling study in China which detected SARS-CoV-2 positive at bedrail, pillow, bedsheet and air exhaust outlet in an asymptomatic patient’s room, suggesting that patients with no symptom also could disseminate the virus.

Even though the samples were taken from day-2 to day-9 of positive clinical test, both positive samples detected were from those at day-2 of positive clinical swab samples. As they had no symptoms, it is difficult to determined when the infection began. However, the detection at early stage of diagnosis corresponds to the study in China that indicate viral shedding begin before onset of symptoms and later decreasing, implying that infectiousness peaked around the day of appearance of clinical presentation (about 2 days before and 1 day after onset) of the disease and decline over time after developing the symptom. The rate of positive detection also decreases the longer time passed. Comparing the infection in weeks of duration, the first week yielded lower Ct value compared to the second week. The detection SARS-CoV-2 among asymptomatic patients’ food packages during early diagnosis emphasize the importance of current policy of incorporating testing of asymptomatic and presymptomatic contact for COVID-19.

Table 1: RT-PCR results from surface sampling of leftover food packages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hall</th>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>COVID-19 Symptoms</th>
<th>Days of admission</th>
<th>Days of positive swab samples</th>
<th>Food container</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Utensils</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is no direct comparison for food packages study, however this finding was similar with environmental surface sampling study in 2020 that showed 5.0% positive RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 in isolation room where patients with COVID-19 were treated. Another study suggests the contamination depends on the infection control measure as none of the surface sampling were positive in hospital settings as they may have prior disinfection but 16.7% detected positive where there was no disinfection done before the sampling.

While possibility of transmitting infection may be less compared to symptomatic individuals, both positive samples detected were from asymptomatic patients. There are evidence suggesting that asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic patients could cause dissemination of the disease.
The Ct value detected from this study were 34.96/35.72 and 37.1/36.48. No further culture was proceeded due to the high Ct value result which indicated low viral load, therefore the possibility that they could be cultured were also low. Estimation of virus propagation with Ct value of >35 was 8.3%. However, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Ct value cannot predict whether the result is infectious as the value can also be affected with other factors, including method of sample collection and handling. Thus, we cannot conclude the findings as infectious or not.

The limitations of this investigation were the small number of samples and it was conducted at only one quarantine centre. Based on findings of this investigation and to strengthened the evidence, we suggest to conduct a study which takes into consideration the appropriate sample size calculation and sampling sites. Other limitations include, sampling was done only among asymptomatic patients and patients with mild symptoms. We did not do simultaneous nasopharyngeal swab together with sampling of the patients’ food containers. Future study could consider taking serial sampling of patients from day-1 of admission till discharge to record viral shedding pattern on different days. Additionally, we also did not proceed with culturing the virus to determine viability and infectiousness.

CONCLUSION

The results suggest that there was contamination from COVID-19 patients to their leftover food packages, in which the finding supports the policy for waste management to be treated as clinical waste. Presence of RT-PCR positive for SARS-CoV-2 indicate that safe handling of food waste and its packages waste is crucial. The risk of transmitting the COVID-19 should be considered when exploring alternative ways to handle them. Further study as discussed above could be considered to strengthen findings of this investigation. Ministry of Health should also look into alternative methods to handle food waste appropriately which can reduce its cost and also to avoid overwhelming of waste treatment facilities.
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